Neal A. Puckett, Esq. Lic: VA

Haytham Faraj, Esq. Lic: IL, MI, DC



Eric S. Montalvo, Esq. Lic: PA, NJ, DC

Debra A. D'Agostino, Esq. Lic: NY

THE LAW FIRM OF PUCKETT AND FARAJ, PC

In reply refer to: ESM/0001 December 8, 2010

Mike Dodd 1185 Ave of the Americas, Suite 1750 New York, New York 10036 Toll free (866) 238-6761 ext 111 Direct Dial 212-205-6171 Fax 866-238-6761

Re: Memorialization of issues outstanding between 3D and Arrow GLS

Dear Mr. Dodd:

As requested I have detailed the many issues of concern that have plagued this arrangement from the onset. I have enclosed select e-mails starting in August, 2010 when difficulties became apparent in the working relationship. As the concern for misinformation became more of a concern greater scrutiny was placed on 3D assertions. In good faith increasingly more detailed questions were presented to 3D and responses ranged from no response to flat out misinformation. While a significant amount of concern still exists regarding other issues, the following highlighted issues, which are contained within the e-mail correspondence, are provided for immediate focus:

- 1) Tracy Clark was not performing. As a result of his intentional absence from the company after concern and intent to terminate was raised on October 28, 2010 3D was placed on notice on November 9, 2010 that he was to be removed. Consistent with the addendum no further liability lies with Arrow for his employment with 3D after November 23, 2010.
- 2) 3D has purposefully withheld proprietary information without cause since Mr. Dodd's trip to Russia. This is directly and adversely affecting Arrow's business interest.
- 3) 3D has repeatedly threatened Arrow's business interests as they relate to Lukoil (assuming there is a genuine existence) and as they relate to DLA. Should 3D act on these threat it will result in direct and substantial liability.
- 4) An adequate response is still pending as it relates to travel expenses despite being promised almost two months ago.
- 5) Commitment letters are still missing.
- 6) A bevy of other issues which can be raised in a different venue if necessary.

While Arrow maintains a desire to continue engaging in business with 3D I have developed serious concerns about the veracity of information provided throughout the course of your

PRACTICE IS LIMITED TO MATTERS AND PROCEEDINGS BEFORE SPECIAL COURTS- FEDERAL COURTS - AGENCIES

business dealings with Arrow and do not believe that record reflects a beneficial result from this relationship. All information provided from 3D to satisfy the information required to be provided will be validated.

If you desire to have Mr. Puckett walk through the issues with you he has made that option available to you. I have cc'd Mr. Faraj, however out of an abundance of caution he is not available for that purpose.

If you instead desire to have your general counsel contact me to discuss further please provide him/her my contact information. I would recommend you provide them this correspondence with enclosures.

If 3D does not provide a valid response by COB December 16, 2010 regarding travel reimbursement will be considered waived. Valid includes providing the contact information for KYC, providing contact information and summary statements of all conversations had in Moscow, and all receipts and travel deviation justifications (subject to review and approval). If information is provided then Arrow will fully reimburse all travel costs previously approved in the budget.

I have provided this to all relevant parties on 8 December 2010 via electronic mail.

Please direct all future communications regarding this matter to myself at (703) 706-9566 or in the alternative via e-mail eric@puckettfaraj.com.

Kind regards

Eric S. Montalvo

Attorney and Counselor at Law

Encl(s): 1. E-mail correspondence