AFCIA Message Board

<u>MEMBERS ONLY PAGE</u> > Message Board > QA

Author	Comment
РСВ	10/23/09 at 10:28 AM
Registered: 01/25/08 Posts: 74	Ok, I understand the need for quality assurance on case work. No ghost writing, no leaving out reported information, no enhancement, no making things up out of thin airdo not lie! I get it. But whats getting on my nerves is case note and the insistence that they be written "legibly" and that the report can be written by someone else reading your notes.
	When doing an interview how many of you would have the time to tell the source to, "Hold on, wait a second, slow down. I have to write this word for word and I must make sure someone else can read each word." "Ok, where were we?"
	FT-no all social all x 2 wk PA Gd wkr cops-booze ? h-d-t integ No bmail gts jb dn intl, gd char gets along confid-t, opsec-infosec,it
	\$ good w/i means You get the picture. One could write a book on those little notes, or at least I could because they are an example of what I know. And some of you may be short hand writers, a useless talent in this environment.
	And I can't stand the constant reminders that we should not be criminals. You know, like the way we were before doing this work. Sheesh.
	OK, got that out of my system. But it has been a little slow here of late. :)
ronjan	10/31/09 at 05:23 PM
Registered: 03/10/07 Posts: 33	Add a couple:
	NDDFF = No drugs, drinking (abuse), financial issues or foreign connections
	NITS = No Information Technology System issues
	HSW, GH, GAW = Handles stress well, good humor and gets along well.
	It is fun to tweek the minds of OPM (Odd People's Mentality) Shorthand really would be even more fun!
РСВ	06/14/10 at 10:36 PM
Registered: 01/25/08 Posts: 74	As you can see this has been discussed before and the issues are the same. They are our notes, created for us, used by us. Bureaucrats just want something to do thus the never ending policy additions.