In The Matter Of:

CHRISTOPHER S. CYNOWA vs. CSSS, INC., et al.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS February 14, 2011

BISTANY REPORTING SERVICE
100 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1600
Chicago, Illinois 60602
e-mail: info@bistanyreporting.com

Original File csss021411mtsfinal.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
1
    STATE OF ILLINOIS
                              SS:
2
     COUNTY OF C O O K
            IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION
 3
4
5
    CHRISTOPHER S. CYNOWA,
                      Plaintiff.
6
7
                                            No. 08 L 000403
          -vs-
    CSSS, INC., (CLIENT SERVER
8
    SOFTWARE SOLUTION d/b/a
9
     CSSS.NET), LISA WOLFORD,
    WILLIAM F. SLATER,
10
                     Defendants.
11
12
13
14
15
16
                REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled
17
    matter before the HONORABLE WILLIAM D. MADDUX, Judge of
18
     said Court, on February 14, 2011, commencing at the hour
19
20
    of 11:17 a.m.
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
    PRESENT:
 2
               MR. PETER V. BUSTAMANTE
          BY:
               150 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 690
 3
               Chicago, Illinois 60601
               (312) 346-2072
 4
               pvbust@bustamantelaw.com
 5
                    appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff;
 6
 7
          RACHLIS DURHAM DUFF & ADLER, LLC
          BY:
               MR. KEVIN B. DUFF
 8
               MR. JOHN E. MURRAY
               542 South Dearborn Street, Suite 900
 9
               Chicago, Illinois 60605
               (312) 733-3390
               kduff@rddlaw.net
10
               jmurray@rddlaw.net
11
                    appeared on behalf of the Defendants.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
(Proceedings in open court.)
1
2
           MR. BUSTAMANTE:
                            Good morning, your Honor.
                 Peter Bustamante on behalf of the plaintiff.
3
4
           MR. DUFF: Good morning, your Honor.
5
                 Kevin Duff on behalf of the defendants.
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: Your Honor, this is the
6
7
    plaintiff's motion to quash a notice and a subpoena for
8
    the continued evidence deposition of a Mr. Larry Carver.
                 Mr. Carver is a witness to this defamation
9
10
    case, and his evidence deposition was taken in July of
11
    2009. At that point, your Honor, over 150 pages of
12
    testimony were taken. The defense had approximately 44
13
    pages of cross-examination and at the end of that said,
14
    that's all we have. Thereafter, there was a redirect and
    a short recross.
15
                 Now they have issued a notice, attaching a
16
17
    Cook County subpoena for a witness that lives in Virginia.
    We don't even know if the witness is going to accept that
18
    subpoena. And if he doesn't, how are they going to
19
    enforce it? We will be forced to travel to Virginia for
20
    naught based on this notice. The notice is also defective
21
22
    in that it says it may be recorded by video. They don't
23
    name the videographer.
```

They are, frankly, seeking to reopen

discovery -- I mean, not reopen testimony -- to reopen testimony. And they haven't provided the Court with the information of the facts upon which the Court can exercise discretion to determine if this witness' testimony should be reopened and, if so, into what areas.

For all those reasons, Judge, we ask that you quash the notice and the subpoena for this witness.

MR. DUFF: Your Honor, Kevin Duff for the defendants.

As Mr. Bustamante indicated, this was a deposition that was taken as an evidence deposition in July of 2009. At that time, this was the first deposed witness in the entire case.

Subsequently we had 18 months of discovery, and this case is set for trial on April 11, 2011. As I say, most of all the discovery in this case took place after Mr. Carver's deposition was noticed as an evidence deposition and taken. And this was noticed at the plaintiff's instance, not the defendants'.

At that time that we took -- that the evidence deposition was taken, it was known by both sides that the deposition had not been concluded. In fact, both sides knew that there were questions that the witness had refused to answer.

And on the record at the close of the deposition, my associate who was present for the deposition indicated that she was going to reserve the right to recall the witness. And if your Honor would like to see that on the record, I can show your Honor. So it was clear to everybody that this deposition was not completed.

And in addition to that, the effort here is to try to foreclose --

THE COURT: What's this witness' position in the case?

MR. DUFF: The witness is a third party, your Honor.

THE COURT: What's his involvement?

MR. DUFF: His involvement is he was a former top manager of my corporate client defendant. And actually, since the time he was deposed, we've come to develop our understanding of what his significance to this case is, including after the point he was deposed we learned that he was providing confidential and privileged information from one of the defendants to plaintiff's counsel. We need an opportunity to ask him about that.

We also know that based on evidence that this particular witness provided to plaintiff's counsel, a new

defendant was added to the case.

So, you know, the opportunity -- we're talking about an evidence deposition. If plaintiff wishes to make objections to any questions that we ask, they can make that on the record or they can bring a motion in limine.

We have 60 days before trial is going to take place in this case. Yes, it's true that this witness is out in Virginia, but we actually noticed the deposition to take place in Virginia for the witness' convenience so he wouldn't have to travel back to Illinois. In fact, this witness already consented to the jurisdiction of this Court, because when the evidence deposition was originally taken, he voluntarily came to Illinois.

THE COURT: Did you serve an Illinois subpoena on this witness?

MR. DUFF: Initially he was served with an Illinois subpoena by the plaintiff's counsel in 2009. He accepted that, came to Illinois, and his evidence deposition was initiated.

THE COURT: Here?

MR. DUFF: Here. We have simply reissued a new --

THE COURT: Have you been in touch with this

24 witness?

```
They are in touch with this witness.
1
           MR. DUFF:
2
           THE COURT: How about you?
           MR. DUFF:
                      No. this witness hasn't communicated
3
4
    with us.
5
                            Judge, he did issue an Illinois
           MR. BUSTAMANTE:
               It's an attachment to the motion.
6
    subpoena.
7
                 One other thing I forgot, your Honor, is that
    through the subpoena, they attached a rider duces tecum.
8
9
    They're seeking to reopen discovery.
                 This witness is -- it's supposed to be an
10
11
    evidence deposition testimony as in trial. They can't use
12
    a subpoena duces tecum to bring new things that they never
13
    obtained during a long period of discovery, which closed
14
    January of this year, to get new information.
           THE COURT: No, no, wait. They could do another
15
    evidence deposition of the same witness as their witness.
16
17
           MR. BUSTAMANTE:
                            But they haven't done that. Yes,
    you're right, they can do that, but that's not what
18
    they're doing. They want to reopen --
19
20
           THE COURT: I thought they were going to take
21
    another deposition.
22
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: That's not what they want.
23
           MR. DUFF: We are, your Honor.
24
                       Reopening or taking another dep?
           THE COURT:
```

```
MR. DUFF: Well, I'm not sure that that's a
1
2
    meaningful distinction.
3
           THE COURT: The other one -- it is. The other one
    is over with, because there you could cross-examine.
4
5
           MR. DUFF: And on the record in that --
           THE COURT: If you're calling him as your witness
6
7
    for another deposition, you can do direct examination; you
8
    can't cross.
9
           MR. DUFF:
                      Okay. On the record in the previous
10
    deposition, we reserved the right to continue the
11
    deposition.
12
           THE COURT: I'm not sure you can do that.
13
           MR. DUFF: Well, it was --
14
           THE COURT: What was the reason for reserving the
    right to ask more questions?
15
           MR. DUFF: Well, there were a few reasons.
16
17
    that it was the end of the day, and the witness had to
    return to Washington.
18
           THE COURT: That's not good enough. What else?
19
                      In addition to that, the witness refused
20
           MR. DUFF:
21
    to answer questions on the record that we reserved the
22
    right to ask the Court to ask him to answer should he --
23
           THE COURT: Well, maybe he shouldn't have to.
24
    we argued that out?
```

MR. DUFF: We haven't argued that, because we 1 2 didn't want to have to come in to the Court in a piecemeal fashion. We wanted to finish the deposition and come back 3 to the Court. 4 THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard a good enough 5 6 reason to reopen the deposition yet. 7 MR. DUFF: Well, as I say, in addition to that fact, you know, this witness -- as I indicated, this 8 9 evidence deposition was taken, you know, 18 months ago before all the discovery in this case took place. You 10 11 know, we've discovered subsequently information that we 12 feel we need to be able to ask this witness about. 13 Now, you know, I think it would be appropriate to allow us to continue the deposition which 14 was never concluded and on the record stating so, but if 15 your Honor is going to not allow us to do it in that 16 17 fashion, then absolutely we would like to call the witness ourselves and ask him these points. 18 19 We need the opportunity, your Honor, to ask this witness all the questions that we didn't ask him. 20 21 THE COURT: Yes, take another deposition. other one is closed. That's over. 22

MR. BUSTAMANTE:

MR. DUFF:

Thank you, your Honor.

So, your Honor, in terms of -- the

23

```
motion before the Court is to quash the subpoena that we
1
2
    issued. My understanding is that's being denied.
3
    take his deposition.
           THE COURT: Uh-huh.
4
5
           MR. DUFF: Thank you, your Honor.
           THE COURT: The second thing is if you have an
6
7
    impeachment that you can use on this witness --
8
           MR. DUFF: Absolutely.
           THE COURT: -- the new rules seem to give you the
9
    right to impeach the witness, even though you're calling
10
11
    the witness, if it's damaging to your case.
12
                      I agree with that, your Honor.
           MR. DUFF:
13
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: Your Honor, clarification, please.
14
                 This subpoena is for the continued evidence
15
    deposition.
           THE COURT: No, that's --
16
17
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: That's quashed?
           THE COURT: -- not what we're doing.
18
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: That's right.
19
20
           THE COURT: He's going to be able to take an
21
    evidence deposition to cover the points that he thinks he
    wants to use this witness for.
22
23
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: I understand that.
                                                 He should
24
    issue a new subpoena for that, because this subpoena is no
```

```
good, right? This is for the continued evidence
1
2
    deposition --
3
           THE COURT: It's not enforceable.
4
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: Okay. Very good.
5
           THE COURT:
                       The --
6
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: So motion to quash is granted, and
7
    he can issue a new subpoena for whatever --
           THE COURT: Well, no. All you have to do is just
8
    serve a notice for this deposition.
9
10
           MR. DUFF: We did already.
11
           THE COURT: Send that to the clerk of the
    courthouse in Virginia, and they'll give you a number
12
13
    where a subpoena can be issued, and that subpoena can be
14
    issued and used on the person.
                 This is the Uniform Taking of Foreign
15
16
    Depositions Act, nothing more than that.
17
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: All right. Okay.
           THE COURT: And it's a very simple process.
18
    what you do is you've got to hire a court reporter.
19
    used to just -- give this to the court reporter, who would
20
    get the subpoena served and make that a part of their
21
    charge, you see.
22
23
           MR. DUFF: Just for clarification of our record,
24
    your Honor, the motion that was up this morning was to
```

```
quash the subpoena. I understand that you're denying that
1
    because it's with respect to -- in other words, we are not
2
    foreclosed from taking this witness' new deposition.
3
           THE COURT: Okay. You can go ahead and operate on
4
5
    the subpoena that you've done. But if the witness doesn't
    appear, they travel to Virginia, and you're going to have
6
7
    to pay their legal fees and their travel expenses for a
8
    deposition that doesn't go ahead.
9
           MR. DUFF: I understand. We're not going to do
10
    that, obviously. We're going to --
11
           THE COURT: If you have a local subpoena served,
12
    then you're going to be insulated from the witness not
13
    appearing, and he's not going to be able to charge you
14
    with funds.
           MR. DUFF: No, I agree. That's the way we'll
15
16
    proceed.
17
           THE COURT:
                       okay.
18
           MR. BUSTAMANTE: Thank you, your Honor.
19
           MR. DUFF: Thank you, your Honor.
20
    (Proceedings concluded.)
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
    STATE OF ILLINOIS
                       )
2
                        )
                           SS:
3
    COUNTY OF C O O K )
4
5
6
7
               I, NANCY L. BISTANY, a Certified Shorthand
    Reporter of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that
8
    I reported in shorthand the proceedings had at the hearing
9
    aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true, complete and
10
11
    correct transcript of the proceedings of said hearing as
12
    appears from my stenographic notes so taken and
13
    transcribed under my personal direction.
              IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand
14
15
    February 21, 2011, at Chicago, Illinois.
16
17
18
19
                    Certified Shorthand Reporter
20
                    Registered Professional Reporter
21
                    Federal Certified Realtime Reporter
22
                    CSR No. 84-1857
23
24
```

CSSS, INC., et al.				February 14, 201
	areas (1)	communicated (1)	10:2	3:8,10;4:11,17,21;
-	4:5	7:3	denying (1)	5:23;6:3,13,19;7:11,16;
1			12:1	
	argued (2)	completed (1)		9:9;10:14,21;11:1
11 (1)	8:24;9:1	5:7	dep (1)	examination (1)
4:15	associate (1)	concluded (3)	7:24	8:7
150 (1)	5:2	4:22;9:15;12:20	deposed (3)	exercise (1)
3:11	attached (1)	confidential (1)	4:12;5:17,19	4:3
18 (2)	7:8	5:20	deposition (33)	expenses (1)
4:14;9:9	attaching (1)	consented (1)	3:8,10;4:11,11,17,18,	12:7
4.14,9.9	3:16	6:12	21,22;5:2,3,6;6:3,9,13,	
2	attachment (1)	continue (2)	19;7:11,16,21;8:7,10,11;	\mathbf{F}
	7:6	8:10;9:14	9:3,6,9,14,21;10:3,15,	_
2000 (2)	7.0	continued (3)	21;11:2,9;12:3,8	fact (3)
2009 (3)	В	3:8;10:14;11:1	Depositions (1)	4:22;6:11;9:8
3:11;4:12;6:18	В	convenience (1)	11:16	facts (1)
2011 (1)	hada (2)	6:10		4:3
4:15	back (2)		determine (1)	
	6:11;9:3	Cook (1)	4:4	fashion (2)
4	based (2)	3:17	develop (1)	9:3,17
-	3:21;5:23	corporate (1)	5:17	feel (1)
44 (1)	behalf (2)	5:16	direct (1)	9:12
3:12	3:3,5	counsel (3)	8:7	fees (1)
J.12	— both (2)	5:21,24;6:18	discovered (1)	12:7
6	4:21,22	County (1)	9:11	few (1)
U	bring (2)	3:17	discovery (6)	8:16
50 (d)	6:5;7:12	court (41)	4:1,14,16;7:9,13;9:10	finish (1)
60 (1)	BUSTAMANTE (16)	3:1;4:2,3;5:10,14;	discretion (1)	9:3
6:7			4:4	first (1)
	3:2,3,6;4:10;7:5,17,	6:13,15,21,23;7:2,15,20,		
\mathbf{A}	22;9:23;10:13,17,19,23;	24;8:3,6,12,14,19,22,23;	distinction (1)	4:12
	11:4,6,17;12:18	9:2,4,5,21;10:1,4,6,9,16,	8:2	forced (1)
able (3)	G	18,20;11:3,5,8,11,18,19,	done (2)	3:20
9:12;10:20;12:13	C	20;12:4,11,17	7:17;12:5	foreclose (1)
absolutely (2)		courthouse (1)	duces (2)	5:9
9:17;10:8	call (1)	11:12	7:8,12	foreclosed (1)
accept (1)	9:17	cover (1)	DUFF (28)	12:3
	calling (2)	10:21	3:4,5;4:8,8;5:12,15;	Foreign (1)
3:18	8:6;10:10	cross (1)	6:17,22;7:1,3,23;8:1,5,9,	11:15
accepted (1)	came (2)	8:8	13,16,20;9:1,7,24;10:5,	forgot (1)
6:18	6:14,19	cross-examination (1)	8,12;11:10,23;12:9,15,	7:7
Act (1)	can (13)	3:13	19	former (1)
11:16			during (1)	5:15
actually (2)	4:3;5:5;6:4,5;7:18;8:7,			
5:16;6:9	12;10:2,7;11:7,13,13;	8:4	7:13	frankly (1)
added (1)	12:4		_	3:24
6:1	Carver (2)	D	${f E}$	funds (1)
addition (3)	3:8,9			12:14
5:8;8:20;9:7	Carver's (1)	damaging (1)	effort (1)	
	4:17	10:11	5:8	\mathbf{G}
ago (1)	case (10)	day (1)	else (1)	
9:9	3:10;4:13,15,16;5:11,	8:17	8:19	Good (6)
agree (2)	18;6:1,8;9:10;10:11	days (1)	end (2)	3:2,4;8:19;9:5;11:1,4
10:12;12:15				
ahead (2)	charge (2)	6:7	3:13;8:17	granted (1)
12:4,8	11:22;12:13	defamation (1)	enforce (1)	11:6
allow (2)	clarification (2)	3:9	3:20	***
9:14,16	10:13;11:23	defective (1)	enforceable (1)	H
appear (1)	clear (1)	3:21	11:3	
12:6	5:6	defendant (2)	enough (2)	heard (1)
appearing (1)	clerk (1)	5:16;6:1	8:19;9:5	9:5
12:13	11:11	defendants (3)	entire (1)	hire (1)
	client (1)	3:5;4:9;5:21	4:13	11:19
appropriate (1)	5:16	defendants' (1)	even (2)	Honor (20)
41.1.4		4:19	3:18;10:10	3:2,4,6,11;4:8;5:4,5,
9:14	close (1)		J.10.10.10	J. 2, 4, T, U, II, T. O, J. T, J,
approximately (1)	close (1)			
approximately (1) 3:12	5:1	defense (1)	everybody (1)	13;7:7,23;9:16,19,23,24;
approximately (1)				13;7:7,23;9:16,19,23,24; 10:5,12,13;11:24;12:18, 19

C555, INC., et al.		T	T	rebruary 14, 20.
	3:17	5:22;6:2;9:19	quash (5)	Send (1)
T	local (1)	originally (1)	3:7;4:7;10:1;11:6;	11:11
I	12:11	6:13	12:1	serve (2)
llinois (6)	long (1)	ourselves (1)	quashed (1)	6:15;11:9
6:11,14,15,17,19;7:5	7:13	9:18	10:17	served (3)
mpeach (1)		out (2)	_	6:17;11:21;12:11
10:10	\mathbf{M}	6:9;8:24	R	set (1)
mpeachment (1)		over (3)		4:15
10:7	manager (1)	3:11;8:4;9:22	reason (2)	short (1)
	5:16	, ,	8:14;9:6	3:15
ncluding (1)	may (1)	P	reasons (2)	show (1)
5:19	3:22	•	4:6;8:16	5:5
ndicated (3)		(2)		
4:10;5:3;9:8	maybe (1)	pages (2)	recall (1)	sides (2)
nformation (4)	8:23	3:11,13	5:4	4:21,23
4:3;5:20;7:14;9:11	mean (1)	part (1)	record (8)	significance (1)
nitially (1)	4:1	11:21	5:1,5;6:5;8:5,9,21;	5:18
6:17	meaningful (1)	particular (1)	9:15;11:23	simple (1)
nitiated (1)	8:2	5:24	recorded (1)	11:18
	months (2)	party (1)	3:22	simply (1)
6:20	4:14;9:9	5:12	recross (1)	6:22
nstance (1)				
4:19	more (2)	pay (1)	3:15	stating (1)
nsulated (1)	8:15;11:16	12:7	redirect (1)	9:15
12:12	morning (3)	period (1)	3:14	subpoena (20)
nto (1)	3:2,4;11:24	7:13	refused (2)	3:7,17,19;4:7;6:15,18
4:5	most (1)	person (1)	4:24;8:20	7:6,8,12;10:1,14,24,2
	4:16	11:14	reissued (1)	11:7,13,13,21;12:1,5,1
nvolvement (2)	motion (6)	Peter (1)	6:22	Subsequently (2)
5:14,15	3:7;6:5;7:6;10:1;11:6,	3:3	reopen (6)	4:14;9:11
ssue (3)				
7:5;10:24;11:7	24	piecemeal (1)	3:24;4:1,1;7:9,19;9:6	supposed (1)
ssued (4)	N .7	9:2	reopened (1)	7:10
3:16;10:2;11:13,14	N	place (4)	4:5	sure (2)
		4:16;6:8,10;9:10	Reopening (1)	8:1,12
J	name (1)	plaintiff (2)	7:24	
J	3:23	3:3;6:3	reporter (2)	${f T}$
(1)	naught (1)	plaintiff's (5)	11:19,20	
January (1)	3:21	3:7;4:19;5:21,24;6:18	reserve (1)	talking (1)
7:14			5:3	
(udge (2)	need (3)	please (1)		6:3
4:6;7:5	5:22;9:12,19	10:13	reserved (2)	tecum (2)
(uly (2)	new (8)	point (2)	8:10,21	7:8,12
3:10:4:12	5:24;6:22;7:12,14;	3:11;5:19	reserving (1)	terms (1)
urisdiction (1)	10:9,24;11:7;12:3	points (2)	8:14	9:24
6:12	notice (6)	9:18;10:21	respect (1)	testimony (5)
0.12	3:7,16,21,21;4:7;11:9	position (1)	12:2	3:12;4:1,2,4;7:11
17	noticed (3)	5:10	return (1)	Thereafter (1)
K	4:17,18;6:9		8:18	3:14
	* *	present (1)		
Kevin (2)	number (1)	5:2	rider (1)	third (1)
3:5;4:8	11:12	previous (1)	7:8	5:12
enew (1)		8:9	right (9)	though (1)
4:23	\mathbf{O}	privileged (1)	5:4;7:18;8:10,15,22;	10:10
nown (1)		5:20	10:10,19;11:1,17	thought (1)
4:21	objections (1)	proceed (1)	rules (1)	7:20
4:21	6:4	12:16	10:9	took (3)
_	obtained (1)	Proceedings (2)	10.9	4:16,20;9:10
${f L}$			S	
	7:13	3:1;12:20	D .	top (1)
arry (1)	obviously (1)	process (1)		5:15
3:8	12:10	11:18	same (1)	touch (2)
earned (1)	one (6)	provided (2)	7:16	6:23;7:1
5:19	5:21;7:7;8:3,3,16;9:22	4:2;5:24	second (1)	travel (4)
5:19 egal (1)	open (1)	providing (1)	10:6	3:20;6:11;12:6,7
egal (I)	3:1	5:20	seeking (2)	trial (3)
	J.1	3.20		4:15;6:7;7:11
12:7	amamata (1)			
	operate (1)	0	3:24;7:9	
12:7	operate (1) 12:4 opportunity (3)	Q	3:24;7:9 seem (1) 10:9	true (1) 6:8

CSSS, INC., et al.			February 14, 2011
try (1) 5:9			
U			
Uniform (1) 11:15			
up (1)			
11:24 upon (1) 4:3			
use (3) 7:11;10:7,22 used (2) 11:14,20			
V			
video (1)			
3:22 videographer (1) 3:23			
Virginia (6) 3:17,20;6:9,10;11:12; 12:6			
voluntarily (1) 6:14			
W			
wait (1) 7:15 wants (1) 10:22 Washington (1) 8:18 way (1)			
12:15 What's (2) 5:10,14			
wishes (1) 6:3 witness (31) 3:9,17,18;4:7,13,23; 5:4,12,24;6:8,12,16,24; 7:1,3,10,16,16;8:6,17, 20;9:8,12,17,20;10:7,10, 11,22;12:5,12 witness' (4)			
4:4;5:10;6:10;12:3 words (1)			
12:2			
Y			
year (1) 7:14			