In The Matter Of:

CHRISTOPHER S. CYNOWA vs. CSSS, INC., et al.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS February 14, 2011

BISTANY REPORTING SERVICE
100 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1600
Chicago, Illinois 60602
e-mail: info@bistanyreporting.com

Original File csss021411mtsfinal.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
CHRISTOPHER S. CYNOWA vs.
                                                                                          REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
CSSS, INC., et al.
                                                       Page 1
                                                                                                                      Page 3
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                                                   (Proceedings in open court.)
                               SS:
 2
     COUNTY OF C O O K
                                                                         MR. BUSTAMANTE: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                                 2
            IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION
 3
                                                                             Peter Bustamante on behalf of the plaintiff.
                                                                 3
 4
                                                                         MR. DUFF: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                                 4
 5
     CHRISTOPHER S. CYNOWA,
                                                                             Kevin Duff on behalf of the defendants.
                                                                 5
 6
                       Plaintiff,
                                                                         MR. BUSTAMANTE: Your Honor, this is the
                                                                 6
 7
                                        )
                                           No. 08 L 000403
          -vs-
                                                                   plaintiff's motion to quash a notice and a subpoena for
 8
     CSSS, INC., (CLIENT SERVER SOFTWARE SOLUTION d/b/a
                                                                   the continued evidence deposition of a Mr. Larry Carver.
 9
     CSSS.NET), LISA WOLFORD, WILLIAM F. SLATER,
                                                                 9
                                                                             Mr. Carver is a witness to this defamation
10
                                                                   case, and his evidence deposition was taken in July of
                       Defendants.
11
                                                                   2009. At that point, your Honor, over 150 pages of
12
                                                                   testimony were taken. The defense had approximately 44
13
                                                                   pages of cross-examination and at the end of that said,
14
                                                                   that's all we have. Thereafter, there was a redirect and
15
                                                                   a short recross.
                                                               15
16
                                                               16
                                                                            Now they have issued a notice, attaching a
17
              REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled
                                                                   Cook County subpoena for a witness that lives in Virginia.
18
    matter before the HONORABLE WILLIAM D. MADDUX, Judge of
                                                                   We don't even know if the witness is going to accept that
19
    said Court, on February 14, 2011, commencing at the hour
                                                                   subpoena. And if he doesn't, how are they going to
20
     of 11:17 a.m.
                                                                   enforce it? We will be forced to travel to Virginia for
21
                                                                   naught based on this notice. The notice is also defective
22
                                                                   in that it says it may be recorded by video. They don't
23
                                                                   name the videographer.
                                                                             They are, frankly, seeking to reopen
24
                                                               24
                                                       Page 2
                                                                                                                      Page 4
 1
2
     PRESENT:
                                                                   discovery -- I mean, not reopen testimony -- to reopen
                 MR. PETER V. BUSTAMANTE
150 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 690
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 346-2072
pvbust@bustamantelaw.com
           BY:
                                                                   testimony. And they haven't provided the Court with the
 3
                                                                   information of the facts upon which the Court can exercise
 4
                                                                   discretion to determine if this witness' testimony should
 5
                     appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff;
                                                                   be reopened and, if so, into what areas.
 6
                                                                            For all those reasons, Judge, we ask that you
                                                                 6
 7
                                                                   quash the notice and the subpoena for this witness.
 8
                                                                         MR. DUFF: Your Honor, Kevin Duff for the
                                                                 8
 9
                                                                   defendants.
10
```

RACHLIS DURHAM DUFF & ADLER, LLC
BY: MR. KEVIN B. DUFF
MR. JOHN E. MURRAY
542 South Dearborn Street, Suite 900
Chicago, Illinois 60605
(312) 733-3390
kduff@rddlaw.net jmurray@rddlaw.net 11 appeared on behalf of the Defendants. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

As Mr. Bustamante indicated, this was a deposition that was taken as an evidence deposition in July of 2009. At that time, this was the first deposed witness in the entire case.

Subsequently we had 18 months of discovery, and this case is set for trial on April 11, 2011. As I say, most of all the discovery in this case took place after Mr. Carver's deposition was noticed as an evidence deposition and taken. And this was noticed at the plaintiff's instance, not the defendants'. 19

20 At that time that we took -- that the evidence deposition was taken, it was known by both sides that the deposition had not been concluded. In fact, both sides knew that there were questions that the witness had refused to answer.

23

24

7 completed.

Page 5

And on the record at the close of the deposition, my associate who was present for the deposition indicated that she was going to reserve the right to recall the witness. And if your Honor would like to see that on the record, I can show your Honor. So it was clear to everybody that this deposition was not

And in addition to that, the effort here is to try to foreclose --

THE COURT: What's this witness' position in the case?

MR. DUFF: The witness is a third party, your Honor.

THE COURT: What's his involvement?

MR. DUFF: His involvement is he was a former top manager of my corporate client defendant. And actually, since the time he was deposed, we've come to develop our understanding of what his significance to this case is, including after the point he was deposed we learned that he was providing confidential and privileged information from one of the defendants to plaintiff's counsel. We need an opportunity to ask him about that.

We also know that based on evidence that this particular witness provided to plaintiff's counsel, a new

1 **MR. DUFF:** They are in touch with this witness.

2 THE COURT: How about you?

MR. DUFF: No, this witness hasn't communicated with us.

5 **MR. BUSTAMANTE:** Judge, he did issue an Illinois 6 subpoena. It's an attachment to the motion.

One other thing I forgot, your Honor, is that through the subpoena, they attached a rider duces tecum. They're seeking to reopen discovery.

This witness is -- it's supposed to be an evidence deposition testimony as in trial. They can't use a subpoena duces tecum to bring new things that they never obtained during a long period of discovery, which closed January of this year, to get new information.

15 **THE COURT:** No, no, wait. They could do another 16 evidence deposition of the same witness as their witness.

MR. BUSTAMANTE: But they haven't done that. Yes, you're right, they can do that, but that's not what they're doing. They want to reopen --

THE COURT: I thought they were going to take another deposition.

MR. BUSTAMANTE: That's not what they want.

MR. DUFF: We are, your Honor.

THE COURT: Reopening or taking another dep?

Page 6

5

1 defendant was added to the case.

So, you know, the opportunity -- we're talking about an evidence deposition. If plaintiff wishes to make objections to any questions that we ask, they can make that on the record or they can bring a motion in limine.

We have 60 days before trial is going to take
place in this case. Yes, it's true that this witness is
out in Virginia, but we actually noticed the deposition to
take place in Virginia for the witness' convenience so he
wouldn't have to travel back to Illinois. In fact, this
witness already consented to the jurisdiction of this
Court, because when the evidence deposition was originally
taken, he voluntarily came to Illinois.

THE COURT: Did you serve an Illinois subpoena on this witness?

MR. DUFF: Initially he was served with an Illinois subpoena by the plaintiff's counsel in 2009. He accepted that, came to Illinois, and his evidence deposition was initiated.

THE COURT: Here?

MR. DUFF: Here. We have simply reissued a new -THE COURT: Have you been in touch with this

24 witness?

MR. DUFF: Well, I'm not sure that that's a meaningful distinction.

THE COURT: The other one -- it is. The other one is over with, because there you could cross-examine.

MR. DUFF: And on the record in that --

THE COURT: If you're calling him as your witness for another deposition, you can do direct examination; you can't cross.

MR. DUFF: Okay. On the record in the previous deposition, we reserved the right to continue the deposition.

THE COURT: I'm not sure you can do that.

MR. DUFF: Well, it was --

14 THE COURT: What was the reason for reserving the right to ask more questions?

MR. DUFF: Well, there were a few reasons. One, that it was the end of the day, and the witness had to return to Washington.

THE COURT: That's not good enough. What else?

MR. DUFF: In addition to that, the witness refused
to answer questions on the record that we reserved the
right to ask the Court to ask him to answer should he -THE COURT: Well, maybe he shouldn't have to. Have

24 we argued that out?

ess.

Page 7

Page 8

Page 11

Page 9

10

17

MR. DUFF: We haven't argued that, because we didn't want to have to come in to the Court in a piecemeal fashion. We wanted to finish the deposition and come back

4 to the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard a good enough reason to reopen the deposition yet.

oreason to reopen the deposition yet.

MR. DUFF: Well, as I say, in addition to that
stact, you know, this witness -- as I indicated, this
evidence deposition was taken, you know, 18 months ago
before all the discovery in this case took place. You
know, we've discovered subsequently information that we
feel we need to be able to ask this witness about.

12 feel we need to be able to ask this witness about.
13 Now, you know, I think it would be
14 appropriate to allow us to continue the deposition which
15 was never concluded and on the record stating so, but if
16 your Honor is going to not allow us to do it in that
17 fashion, then absolutely we would like to call the witness
18 ourselves and ask him these points.

We need the opportunity, your Honor, to ask this witness all the questions that we didn't ask him.

THE COURT: Yes, take another deposition. The other one is closed. That's over.

MR. BUSTAMANTE: Thank you, your Honor.
MR. DUFF: So, your Honor, in terms of -- the

good, right? This is for the continued evidencedeposition --

THE COURT: It's not enforceable.

4 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Okay. Very good.

5 **THE COURT:** The --

6 MR. BUSTAMANTE: So motion to quash is granted, and

7 he can issue a new subpoena for whatever - 8 THE COURT: Well, no. All you have to do is just

9 serve a notice for this deposition.

MR. DUFF: We did already.

THE COURT: Send that to the clerk of the courthouse in Virginia, and they'll give you a number where a subpoena can be issued, and that subpoena can be issued and used on the person.

This is the Uniform Taking of Foreign Depositions Act, nothing more than that.

MR. BUSTAMANTE: All right. Okay.

THE COURT: And it's a very simple process. And what you do is you've got to hire a court reporter. I used to just -- give this to the court reporter, who would get the subpoena served and make that a part of their charge, you see.

MR. DUFF: Just for clarification of our record, your Honor, the motion that was up this morning was to

Page 10

Page 12

motion before the Court is to quash the subpoena that we
 issued. My understanding is that's being denied. We can
 take his deposition.

4 **THE COURT:** Uh-huh.

5 **MR. DUFF:** Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: The second thing is if you have an impeachment that you can use on this witness --

8 MR. DUFF: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- the new rules seem to give you the right to impeach the witness, even though you're calling the witness, if it's damaging to your case.

MR. DUFF: I agree with that, your Honor.

MR. BUSTAMANTE: Your Honor, clarification, please.
This subpoena is for the continued evidence deposition.

16 THE COURT: No, that's --

MR. BUSTAMANTE: That's quashed?

18 THE COURT: -- not what we're doing.

19 MR. BUSTAMANTE: That's right.

THE COURT: He's going to be able to take an evidence deposition to cover the points that he thinks he wants to use this witness for.

MR. BUSTAMANTE: I understand that. He should issue a new subpoena for that, because this subpoena is no

1 quash the subpoena. I understand that you're denying that
2 because it's with respect to -- in other words, we are not
3 foreclosed from taking this witness' new deposition.

THE COURT: Okay. You can go ahead and operate on the subpoena that you've done. But if the witness doesn't appear, they travel to Virginia, and you're going to have to pay their legal fees and their travel expenses for a deposition that doesn't go ahead.

9 **MR. DUFF:** I understand. We're not going to do that, obviously. We're going to --

THE COURT: If you have a local subpoena served, then you're going to be insulated from the witness not appearing, and he's not going to be able to charge you with funds.

MR. DUFF: No, I agree. That's the way we'll proceed.

17 **THE COURT:** Okay.

18 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Thank you, your Honor.

19 MR. DUFF: Thank you, your Honor.

20 (Proceedings concluded.)

21 22

23

24

```
CSSS, INC., et al.
                                                                                                  February 14, 2011
                                                   Page 13
 1 STATE OF ILLINOIS )
 2
                          ) ss:
    COUNTY OF C O O K )
 4
 5
 6
 7
              I, NANCY L. BISTANY, a Certified Shorthand
 oldsymbol{8} Reporter of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that
 9 I reported in shorthand the proceedings had at the hearing
10 aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true, complete and
11 correct transcript of the proceedings of said hearing as
12 appears from my stenographic notes so taken and
13 transcribed under my personal direction.
14
              IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand
15
   February 21, 2011, at Chicago, Illinois.
16
17
18
19
                     Certified Shorthand Reporter
20
                     Registered Professional Reporter
21
                    Federal Certified Realtime Reporter
22
                     CSR No. 84-1857
23
24
```

C555, 111C., et al.				rebluary 14, 2011
	areas (1)	communicated (1)	10:2	3:8,10;4:11,17,21;
1	4:5	7:3	denying (1)	5:23;6:3,13,19;7:11,16;
	argued (2)	completed (1)	12:1	9:9;10:14,21;11:1
11 (1)	8:24;9:1	5:7	dep (1)	examination (1)
4:15	associate (1)	concluded (3)	7:24	8:7
150 (1)	5:2	4:22;9:15;12:20	deposed (3)	exercise (1)
3:11	attached (1)	confidential (1)	4:12;5:17,19	4:3
18 (2)	7:8	5:20	deposition (33)	expenses (1)
4:14:9:9	attaching (1)	consented (1)	3:8,10;4:11,11,17,18,	12:7
	3:16	6:12	21,22;5:2,3,6;6:3,9,13,	
2	attachment (1)	continue (2)	19;7:11,16,21;8:7,10,11;	\mathbf{F}
<i></i>	7:6	8:10;9:14	9:3,6,9,14,21;10:3,15,	
2009 (3)		continued (3)	21;11:2,9;12:3,8	fact (3)
3:11;4:12;6:18	В	3:8;10:14;11:1	Depositions (1)	4:22;6:11;9:8
2011 (1)		convenience (1)	11:16	facts (1)
4:15	back (2)	6:10	determine (1)	4:3
4.13	6:11;9:3	Cook (1)	4:4	fashion (2)
4	based (2)	3:17	develop (1)	9:3,17
	3:21;5:23	corporate (1)	5:17	feel (1)
44 (1)	behalf (2)	5:16	direct (1)	9:12
44 (1) 3:12	3:3,5	counsel (3)	8:7	fees (1)
3.12	both (2)	5:21,24;6:18	discovered (1)	12:7
6	4:21,22	County (1)	9:11	few (1)
	bring (2)	3:17	discovery (6)	8:16
(0 (1)	6:5;7:12	court (41)	4:1,14,16;7:9,13;9:10	finish (1)
60 (1)	BUSTAMANTE (16)	3:1;4:2,3;5:10,14;	discretion (1)	9:3
6:7	3:2,3,6;4:10;7:5,17,	6:13,15,21,23;7:2,15,20,	4:4	first (1)
A	22;9:23;10:13,17,19,23;	24;8:3,6,12,14,19,22,23;	distinction (1)	4:12
A	11:4,6,17;12:18	9:2,4,5,21;10:1,4,6,9,16,	8:2	forced (1)
11 (2)	11. 1,0,17,12.10	18,20;11:3,5,8,11,18,19,	done (2)	3:20
able (3)	C	20;12:4,11,17	7:17;12:5	foreclose (1)
9:12;10:20;12:13		courthouse (1)	duces (2)	5:9
absolutely (2)	call (1)	11:12	7:8,12	foreclosed (1)
9:17;10:8	9:17	cover (1)	DUFF (28)	12:3
accept (1)	calling (2)	10:21	3:4,5;4:8,8;5:12,15;	Foreign (1)
3:18	8:6;10:10	cross (1)	6:17,22;7:1,3,23;8:1,5,9,	11:15
accepted (1)	came (2)	8:8	13,16,20;9:1,7,24;10:5,	forgot (1)
6:18	6:14,19	cross-examination (1)	8,12;11:10,23;12:9,15,	7:7
Act (1)	can (13)	3:13	19	former (1)
11:16	4:3;5:5;6:4,5;7:18;8:7,	cross-examine (1)	during (1)	5:15
actually (2)	12;10:2,7;11:7,13,13;	8:4	7:13	frankly (1)
5:16;6:9	12:4	0.4	7.13	3:24
added (1)	Carver (2)	D	\mathbf{E}	funds (1)
6:1	3:8,9	D	E	12:14
addition (3)	Carver's (1)	damaging (1)	effort (1)	12.17
5:8;8:20;9:7	4:17	10:11	5:8	G
ago (1)	case (10)	day (1)	else (1)	U
9:9	3:10;4:13,15,16;5:11,	8:17	8:19	Good (6)
agree (2)	18;6:1,8;9:10;10:11	days (1)	end (2)	3:2,4;8:19;9:5;11:1,4
10:12;12:15		6:7	3:13;8:17	3:2,4,8:19,9:3,11:1,4 granted (1)
ahead (2)	charge (2) 11:22;12:13	defamation (1)	enforce (1)	11:6
12:4,8	clarification (2)	3:9	3:20	11.0
allow (2)	10:13;11:23	defective (1)	enforceable (1)	Н
9:14,16	clear (1)	3:21	11:3	11
appear (1)	5:6	defendant (2)	enough (2)	heard (1)
12:6		5:16;6:1	8:19;9:5	heard (1) 9:5
appearing (1)	clerk (1)		*	
12:13	11:11	defendants (3)	entire (1)	hire (1)
appropriate (1)	client (1)	3:5;4:9;5:21	4:13	11:19
9:14	5:16	defendants' (1)	even (2)	Honor (20)
		4:19	3:18;10:10	3:2,4,6,11;4:8;5:4,5,
approximately (1)	close (1)			10 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
approximately (1) 3:12	5:1	defense (1)	everybody (1)	
approximately (1)				13;7:7,23;9:16,19,23,24; 10:5,12,13;11:24;12:18, 19

	3:17	5:22;6:2;9:19	quash (5)	Sand (1)
т	local (1)	originally (1)	quash (5) 3:7;4:7;10:1;11:6;	Send (1) 11:11
I	12:11	6:13	12:1	serve (2)
	long (1)	ourselves (1)	quashed (1)	6:15;11:9
Illinois (6)				
6:11,14,15,17,19;7:5	7:13	9:18	10:17	served (3)
impeach (1)	M	out (2)	D	6:17;11:21;12:11
10:10	M	6:9;8:24	R	set (1)
impeachment (1)		over (3)		4:15
10:7	manager (1)	3:11;8:4;9:22	reason (2)	short (1)
including (1)	5:16	-	8:14;9:6	3:15
5:19	may (1)	P	reasons (2)	show (1)
indicated (3)	3:22		4:6;8:16	5:5
4:10;5:3;9:8	maybe (1)	pages (2)	recall (1)	sides (2)
information (4)	8:23	3:11,13	5:4	4:21,23
4:3;5:20;7:14;9:11	mean (1)	part (1)	record (8)	significance (1)
Initially (1)	4:1	11:21	5:1,5;6:5;8:5,9,21;	5:18
6:17	meaningful (1)	particular (1)	9:15;11:23	simple (1)
initiated (1)	8:2	5:24	recorded (1)	11:18
6:20	months (2)	party (1)	3:22	simply (1)
instance (1)	4:14;9:9	5:12	recross (1)	6:22
4:19	more (2)	pay (1)	3:15	stating (1)
	8:15;11:16	12:7	redirect (1)	9:15
insulated (1)	morning (3)	period (1)	3:14	subpoena (20)
12:12	3:2,4;11:24	7:13	refused (2)	3:7,17,19;4:7;6:15,18
into (1)	most (1)	person (1)	4:24;8:20	7:6,8,12;10:1,14,24,2
4:5	4:16	11:14	reissued (1)	11:7,13,13,21;12:1,5,1
involvement (2)	motion (6)	Peter (1)	6:22	Subsequently (2)
5:14,15		3:3		4:14;9:11
issue (3)	3:7;6:5;7:6;10:1;11:6,		reopen (6)	
7:5;10:24;11:7	24	piecemeal (1)	3:24;4:1,1;7:9,19;9:6	supposed (1)
issued (4)	NT.	9:2	reopened (1)	7:10
3:16;10:2;11:13,14	N	place (4)	4:5	sure (2)
	(4)	4:16;6:8,10;9:10	Reopening (1)	8:1,12
${f J}$	name (1)	plaintiff (2)	7:24	TD.
	3:23	3:3;6:3	reporter (2)	T
January (1)	naught (1)	plaintiff's (5)	11:19,20	
7:14	3:21	3:7;4:19;5:21,24;6:18	reserve (1)	talking (1)
Judge (2)	need (3)	please (1)	5:3	6:3
4:6;7:5	5:22;9:12,19	10:13	reserved (2)	tecum (2)
July (2)	new (8)	point (2)	8:10,21	7:8,12
3:10;4:12	5:24;6:22;7:12,14;	3:11;5:19	reserving (1)	terms (1)
jurisdiction (1)	10:9,24;11:7;12:3	points (2)	8:14	9:24
6:12	notice (6)	9:18;10:21	respect (1)	testimony (5)
J.12	3:7,16,21,21;4:7;11:9	position (1)	12:2	3:12;4:1,2,4;7:11
K	noticed (3)	5:10	return (1)	Thereafter (1)
17	4:17,18;6:9	present (1)	8:18	3:14
Kevin (2)	number (1)	5:2	rider (1)	third (1)
	11:12	previous (1)	7:8	5:12
3:5;4:8		8:9	right (9)	though (1)
knew (1)	0	privileged (1)	5:4;7:18;8:10,15,22;	10:10
4:23	<u> </u>	5:20	10:10,19;11:1,17	thought (1)
known (1)	objections (1)	proceed (1)	rules (1)	7:20
4:21	• • •		10:9	took (3)
	6:4	12:16	10.9	
${f L}$	obtained (1)	Proceedings (2)	C	4:16,20;9:10
	7:13	3:1;12:20	S	top (1)
Larry (1)	obviously (1)	process (1)		5:15
3:8	12:10	11:18	same (1)	touch (2)
learned (1)	one (6)	provided (2)	7:16	6:23;7:1
5:19	5:21;7:7;8:3,3,16;9:22	4:2;5:24	second (1)	travel (4)
legal (1)	open (1)	providing (1)	10:6	3:20;6:11;12:6,7
		5:20	seeking (2)	trial (3)
	3:1	3.20		
12:7			3:24;7:9	4:15;6:7;7:11
12:7 limine (1)	3:1 operate (1) 12:4			The state of the s
12:7	operate (1)	Q	3:24;7:9 seem (1) 10:9	4:15;6:7;7:11 true (1) 6:8

	<u> </u>	February
try (1)		
5:9		
U		
Uniform (1)		
11:15 up (1)		
11:24 upon (1)		
4:3		
use (3) 7:11;10:7,22		
used (2)		
11:14,20		
V		
video (1) 3:22		
videographer (1)		
3:23 Virginia (6)		
3:17,20;6:9,10;11:12; 12:6		
voluntarily (1)		
6:14		
W		
wait (1) 7:15		
wants (1)		
10:22 Washington (1)		
8:18 way (1)		
12:15		
What's (2) 5:10,14		
wishes (1)		
6:3 witness (31)		
3:9,17,18;4:7,13,23; 5:4,12,24;6:8,12,16,24;		
7:1,3,10,16,16;8:6,17,		
20;9:8,12,17,20;10:7,10, 11,22;12:5,12		
witness' (4) 4:4;5:10;6:10;12:3		
words (1)		
12:2		
Y		
year (1) 7:14		
/.17		