UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	١,
--------------------------	----

CASE NO. 08-20314

Plaintiff,

HON. NANCY G. EDMUNDS

ISSAM HAMAMA,

Defendant.

STIPULATION TO ADJOURN TRIAL AND FOR FINDING OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY

On April 70, 2009, the parties appeared before the Court for a pre-trial conference. In light of the need to address pretrial motions and the complexity of the case, the parties agreed to adjourn the trial, previously scheduled for April 13, 2010, until August 9, 2010. Such delay is necessary to the preparation of the defense and prosecution of the case. The Defendant is not confined. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), the parties stipulate that the extended time period shall constitute excludable delay, and that the ends of justice served by this delay outweigh the best interests of the Defendant and the public to a speedy trial, due to the complex nature of the case.

s/Haytham Faraj Counsel for Defendant 5626 Cambourne Road Dearborn Heights, Michigan 48127 760.521.7934 Haytham@puckettfaraj.com

Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office 211 W. Fort Street, suite 2001

Detroit, Michigan 48226 313.226.9725

s/Barbara L. McQuade

Barbara.mcquade@usdoj.gov

Issam Hamama

Dated: April 14, 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AME	ERICA,		
			CASE NO. 08-20314
v	Plaintiff,		HON. NANCY G. EDMUNDS
ISSAM HAMAMA,			
	Defendant.	/	

ORDER ADJOURNING TRIAL AND FINDING EXCLUDABLE DELAY

The Court has reviewed the stipulation of the parties, has conducted a pretrial conference regarding this matter, and is otherwise informed in the premises. Now, therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial previously scheduled for April 13, 2020, is adjourned until August 9, 2010. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), the Court finds that this time period shall constitute excludable delay and that the ends of justice served by this delay outweigh the best interests of the Defendant and the public in a speedy trial. The Court further finds that additional time is needed by both parties for adequate preparation, and that such time is reasonable, due to the complex nature of the case.

NANCY	G. EDMUNDS	
United Sta	ates District Judge	

Dated: